

BOBBILI SRINIVAS
ADVOCATE

Flat No.2-3, Mouli Towers, Behind Krishna
District Lorry Owners' Association Kalyana
Mandapam, near Benz Circle, Vijayawada-16
Contact No:9866043939

Dt: 17.6.2025

REGISTERED POST WITH ACKNOWLEDGMENT DUE

To

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Telangana
School Education Department, Secretariat,
Saifabad, Hyderabad-500022.
2. The Director of School Education,
B-Block 2, Telephone Bhavan Road,
Saifabad, Hyderabad-500004
3. The District Collector, Collectorate,
Subedari, Hanumakonda-506001
4. The District Educational Officer
Hunter Road, near District Court,
Postal Colony, Subedari, Hanumakonda-506001

Sir/Madam,

Sub: 2008 DSC - Hon'ble High Court of Telangana passed Final Orders
dt.12.03.2025 W.P.No.4733/2025- Request to implement the said
orders of the Hon'ble High Court in its true letter and spirit-
Regarding.

Ref: Final Orders dt.12.03.2025 W.P.No.4733/2025

1. On instructions of my Client, Smt.Mamidipalli Swaroopa,
D/o.Komaraiah, Age 40 years, Occ:CRT in KGBV, Velair, Hanumakonda
District, R/o.H.No.7-1-25, Sainagar Colony, Balasamudram, Hanumakonda,
this notice is sent to your Hon'ble Authorities requesting to implement the
final orders dt.12.3.2025 in WP.No.4733/2025 passed by the Hon'ble High
Court of Telangana in its true letter and spirit, otherwise, my client will be
constrained be to initiate appropriate proceedings under the Contempt of
Courts Act.

2. My client belongs to SC category. She is local to Warangal District.
She applied for SGT post notified in DSC-2008. Authorities permitted her to
write the Written Test with Hall Ticket No. 21200111491. In the written test,
she secured 49.5 marks and her rank is 4,300.

3. While such is the state of affairs, authorities instead of showing my
client's caste as 'SC', shown her caste as 'OC'. Having realised their mistake,
as per the directions of Director of School Education vide proceedings bearing
Rc.No.2008/Rc-3/2008-Sub-3 dt.17.9.2009, my client's caste is correctly
mentioned as 'SC' and the same was updated in the records concerned. But,
my client is not given appointment as SGT under SC category.


4. In view of the interim orders dt.8.2.2024 in W.P.No.18683/2009 & Batch, authorities appointed several B.Ed candidates, including SC candidates who secured 49 marks, such as, Vangala Saritha (HT-21200108588), Tuppari Saritha (HT-21200106806), Nakkapally Aruna (HT-21200100499), etc.. But, strangely, though my client secured 49.5 marks, she is not given appointment.

5. Appointing lesser meritorious candidates while ignoring the candidates with higher merit is unconstitutional. Therefore, my client filed W.P.No.4733/2025 challenging your action in not considering her case for appointment as SGT under DSC-2008 though she secured 49.5 marks in SC category having appointed the candidates, who secured 49 marks, as illegal, unconstitutional, etc.

6. The said Writ Petition was listed on 12.03.2025 for admission. Then, the Hon'ble High Court after perusing the record and after hearing the rival submissions made by the learned Counsel for Petitioner and Government Pleader for the Respondents was pleased to dispose of the said writ petition as follows:

“9. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the respective parties and without going into merits of the case, the respondent authorities are directed to consider the latest representation dated 19.10.2024 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

7. Therefore, on instructions of my client, I hereby request your Hon'ble Authorities to implement the said final orders dt.12.03.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.4733/2025 in its true letter and spirit by considering my client's representation dt.19.10.2024 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of four (04) weeks. Any action, which is in contravention of the said orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court will be amounting to deliberate and wilful violation of the judicial command of the Hon'ble High Court, which attracts the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act. A copy of the final order dt.12.03.2025 in W.P.No.4733/2025, which was already dispatched to you by the Hon'ble High Court on 26.5.2025, is enclosed herewith for your perusal and appropriate action.



Bobbili Srinivas
Advocate

BOBBILI SRINIVAS
ADVOCATE A.P.A.T.
Plot No: 410, Road No: 22,
Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-500034, T.S.

[3304]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4733 OF 2025

Between:

Mamidipalli Swaroopa, D/o.Komaraiah, Age. 40 years, Occ.CRT in KGBV, Velair, Hanumakonda District, R/o.House No.7-1-25, Sainagar Colony, Balasamudram, Hanumakonda, Hanumakonda District - 506001

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep., by its Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad-500022
2. The Director of School Education, B Block, 2, Telephone Bhavan Road, Saifabad, Hyderabad -500004
3. The District Collector, Collectorate, Subedari, Hanumakonda-506001
4. The District Educational Officer, Hunter Road, near District Court, Postal Colony, Subedari, Hanumakonda - 506001

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of Respondents in not considering the case of the Petitioner for appointment to the post of Secondary Grade Teacher under DSC-2008 though she secured 49.5 marks in SC category having appointed the candidates who secured 49 marks as illegal, unjust, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative Principles of Natural Justice and also violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the interim orders dt.08/02/2024 in W.P.No.18683/2009 and Batch and consequently direct the Respondents to appoint the Petitioner as SGT on par with the similarly situated candidates with all consequential benefits.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd Respondent to consider Petitioner s Appeal forwarded by the 4th Respondent vide proceedings bearing Lr.No.3292/B1/2024 dt.18/11/2024 for appointment as Secondary Grade Teacher under DSC-2008 and pass appropriate orders within a period of one week, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI SRINIVAS BOBBILI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES I

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.4733 of 2025

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents and perused the record. With the consent of both the parties, this writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner belong to SC category and completed B.Sc and B.Ed and as she qualified and eligible for the post of SGT, she applied for the post of SGT notified under DSC-2008 Notification dated 06.12.2008. Having considered her application, respondents issued Hall Ticket bearing No.21200111491 and in the written test, the petitioner secured 49.5 marks and her rank is 4,300.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents by issuing G.O.Ms.No.28, dated 29.01.2009 reserved 30% of the notified posts in favour of D.Ed candidates. Aggrieved by the same, B.Ed candidates, who are similarly situated, filed W.P.No.18683 of 2009 and batch, wherein this

Court granted an interim order dated 09.09.2009 suspending the Rule which reserved 30% of the notified posts in favour of D.Ed candidates.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents prepared 100% selection list (B.Ed+D.Ed) without reference to 30% reservation. As per this selection list, 49 marks is the cut-off for SC (Local) (Women) candidates. The respondent authorities by mistake shown the petitioner under 'OC' category, for which 61 marks is the cut-off. Therefore, the petitioner was not found place in the said merit list. With a view to implement G.O.Ms.No.28, dated 29.01.2009, the respondents prepared 70% list (B.Ed+D.Ed) and 30% list (D.Ed only).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submit that on 17.09.2009; respondent No.2 rectified the mistake and updates the records concerned by showing the petitioner under SC category. Even after updating her category, she was not found place in the 70% as 51 marks is the cut-off for SC (Local) (Women) candidates. Later, in the month of November, 2010, the respondents filled up SGT vacancies both under the lists of

70% and 30%. Due to lack of sufficient number of eligible candidates, respondent could not fill up 1800 notified vacancies of DSC-2008. Subsequently, having considered all the relevant factors, the Division Bench of this Court granted an interim order dated 08.02.2024 in W.P.No.18683 of 2009 and batch directing the respondents to consider the case of the B.Ed candidates for appointment of SGT on contract basis as was done in the case of B.Ed candidates, who are the natives of the State of Andhra Pradesh.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondent authorities implemented the interim order of this Court by issuing G.O.Ms.No.14, dated 14.02.2025 and issued appointment orders to the eligible B.Ed candidates, but the petitioner was not given appointment though she secured 49.5 marks rather the candidates who secured 49 marks were appointed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner made several representations to respondent No.4 on 30.09.2024, 19.10.2024, 26.10.2024 and 07.11.2024 requesting the respondents to consider her case for

appointment as SGT under SC category. Having considered by representations, respondent No.4 forwarded the case of the petitioner to respondent No.2. But, without considering her representations, respondent No.2 issued appointment orders to the less meritorious candidates. Therefore, appropriate orders be passed in the writ petition by directing the respondents to consider the representations submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

8. On the other hand, learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents submits that the respondents would consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on 19.10.2024 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

9. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the respective parties and without going into merits of the case, the respondent authorities are directed to consider the latest representation dated 19.10.2024 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

10. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.
No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in
this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- B.G.VYJAYANTHI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat,
Saifabad, State of Telangana, Hyderabad-500022
2. The Director of School Education, B Block, 2, Telephone Bhavan Road,
Saifabad, Hyderabad -500004
3. The District Collector, Collectorate, Subedari, Hanumakonda-506001
4. The District Educational Officer, Hunter Road, near District Court, Postal
Colony, Subedari, Hanumakonda - 506001
5. One CC to SRI SRINIVAS BOBBILI, Advocate. [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES I, High Court for the State of Telangana.
[OUT]
7. Two CD Copies.

BSK

KV

pma

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/03/2025



ORDER

WP.No.4733 of 2025

**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

(10) Pmc.
20/5/25

भारतीय डाक
डाक सेवा-जल सेवा

RM176660496IN IVR:8278176660496

RL HYDERABAD G.P.O. <500001>

Counter No:4,17/06/2025,15:52

To:THE PRL SECR.TG SCHOOL EDUCAT

PIN:500022, Central Secretariat S.O

From:BOBBILI SRINIVAS,ADVOCATE

Wt:30gms Ack Fee:3.00,REG=17.0

Amt:35.40,Tax:5.40,Amt.Paid:35.00(Cash)

<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>

<Dial 18002666868><Wear mask -Stay safe>

भारतीय डाक
डाक सेवा-जल सेवा

RM176660726IN IVR:8278176660726

RL HYDERABAD G.P.O. <500001>

Counter No:4,17/06/2025,15:52

To:THE DIRECTOR,SCHOOL EDUCATION

PIN:500004, Khairatabad H.O

From:BOBBILI SRINIVAS,ADVOCATE

Wt:30gms Ack Fee:3.00,REG=17.0

Amt:35.40,Tax:5.40,Amt.Paid:35.00(Cash)

<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>

<Dial 18002666868><Wear mask -Stay safe>

भारतीय डाक
डाक सेवा-जल सेवा

RM176660615IN IVR:8278176660615

RL HYDERABAD G.P.O. <500001>

Counter No:4,17/06/2025,15:52

To:DIST COLLECTO,SUBEDARI HANAMKO

PIN:506001, Hanamkonda H.O

From:BOBBILI SRINIVAS,ADVOCATE

Wt:30gms Ack Fee:3.00,REG=17.0

Amt:35.40,Tax:5.40,Amt.Paid:35.00(Cash)

<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>

<Dial 18002666868><Wear mask -Stay safe>

भारतीय डाक
डाक सेवा-जल सेवा

RM176660730IN IVR:8278176660730

RL HYDERABAD G.P.O. <500001>

Counter No:4,17/06/2025,15:52

To:THE D E O,HANUMAKONDA

PIN:506001, Hanamkonda H.O

From:BOBBILI SRINIVAS,ADVOCATE

Wt:30gms Ack Fee:3.00,REG=17.0

Amt:35.40,Tax:5.40,Amt.Paid:35.00(Cash)

<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>

<Dial 18002666868><Wear mask -Stay safe>